

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/584,194	05/25/2007	Takehiro Ohkoshi	2565-0297PUS1	1262	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 06/23/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2431		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/23/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/584,194	OHKOSHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ARAVIND K. MOORTHY	2431	

	ARAVIND K. MOORTHY	2431					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) Mean The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period or extension and the corresponding amount of the fex pappropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	and the and period decreating of	O1 11 4 1.07 (u).					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because     (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		,	ŭ				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)       how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov.     The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/William R. Korzuch/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 2, the applicant argues that Edget is not directed to updating the algorithm by changing the key pair. The applicant continues to state that Edget the regiscobes updating an algorithm or updating at key pair. The examiner asserts that the applicant does not claim "updating the algorithm by changing the key pair" in claim 1. Therefore, it is not required by Edgett to discobes this feature. On pages 2 and 3, the applicant argues that Edget to see not discobes a key index and an algorithm identifier. The applicant argues that they are not a prescribed a least one encrythm identifier and a prescribed encryption key identifier, which are selected among the at least one algorithm identifier and the action encryption key identifier transmitted by the transmitting unit as claimed. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Edgett discobes that algorithm identifier [0058]. Edgett discobes tagging the generated public/private key pair with a key index. Edgett discobes tagging the generated public/private key pair with a key index. Edgett discobes the algorithm identifier and the corresponding key index and the associated algorithm identifier to the server during the authentication process. The applicant argues, regarding claims 4, 7, 8, 9 and 10, that Edgett does not discobes a transmitting unit to transmit the prescribed algorithm identifier and the prescribed encryption key identifier selected by the selecting unit to the authenticated device as claimed. As discussed above, Edgett discobes transmitting the encrypted password, the corresponding key index and the associated algorithm identifier to the server during the authentication process.